



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/892,703	06/28/2001	Hiroshi Ohmura	740819-0574	4228		
22204	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER			
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			JAROENCHONW	JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2143			
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second							
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/892,7	70,3	OHMURA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Bunjob J	aroenchonwanit	2143			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>2/14/05</u> .					
•	•	 2b)☐ This action is	non-final.	•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	·				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority document Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
A44	Ma)			•			
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)			
	æ of References Cited (P1O-892) æ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,703

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action responds to the amendment filed 02/14/05. Claims 1-6 are amended and pending for examination, claim 7 is canceled. Claim 1-6 are pending for examination.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Although in the remark applicant argued that Gotou, Aratow and Phelen do not suggest the claims as amended, but examiner do not agree with applicant argument. Examiner contends that the previous applied arts clearly have *prima facie* case of obviousness. However, in light of newfound art, the new rejection, which is necessitated by the amendment, is established. The rejection cited are as stated below.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Fujiwara et al (US. 2004/0012506), "Fujiwara," hereinafter.</u>
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Fujiwara discloses a server linked to an in-vehicle unit and a computer via a network, the in-vehicle unit being mounted in a vehicle and containing map data, the computer being installed at a specific location other than the vehicle and containing map, data (fig. 1),

Wherein the server comprises pieces of map selection data each of which specifies a amp to be selected, (e.g., map selection command) and pieces of coordination data each of which specifies a point where additional information is to be presented on the selected map, and the sever is configured to transmit the pieces of map selection data and the pieces of coordinate data

Application/Control Number: 09/892,703

Art Unit: 2143

to the in-vehicle unit (fig 16 illustrates map selection data and coordinate transmitted to invehicle unit (See also paragraphs 2, 6, 8, 9, 11).

The claims' language, such as, a computer being installed other than in-vehicle merely set up environment is not limitation, therefore, has no patentable weight. The "or" terminology in the claim intended for broadening the claim also provided alternative limitations, thus only invehicle unit or computer in other location is required to satisfy the claim language.

- 6. Regarding claim 1, recited the limitations that are akin to the limitations in claim 3, in alternative language, and required the in-vehicle unit to select map based on the map selection data, which is taught by Fujiwara (see fig.14). Claim is rejected by the rationale set forth in claim 3 and the aforesaid.
- 7. As to claim 2, in addition to the above rejection, claim 2 required data is in HTML, Fujiwara teaches the same (see fig. 14).
- 8. Regarding claims 4-6, recited the in-vehicle unit having limitations corresponding to the above-mentioned. Despite the fact that the claims recites a term "plug-in", but it does not distinguish over Fujiwara, because "plug-in" is a known and arbitrary used in place of modular software, which is required in HTML, JAVA or any other Markup language, to make a portable and compact software. Thus, omission of defining plug-in structure, the limitations read on any modular software, including the using of HTML file, as suggested in Fujiwara.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

Application/Control Number: 09/892,703

Art Unit: 2143

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit whose telephone number is (571) 272-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2143

/bj 5/27/05

9197 (toll-free).